

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/856,989 09/07/2001		09/07/2001	Bernd Hessing	10191/1791	10191/1791 6458	
26646	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY				ZEWDU, MELESS NMN		
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2683		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/856,989	HESSING ET AL.		
Exammer-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Meless N. Zewdu	2683		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>//ou</u>	us of Application: <u>llowed</u>		
(1) <u>Meless N. Zewdu</u> .	(3)			
(2) Linda M. Shady (Reg. # 47,084).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 7 September 2005	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.		•		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A/				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
		•		
Wordy Solene				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Previous partial amendment (5/30/01) to the specification did not include the complete specification as amended. This resulted in a "PRINTER RUSH". Examiner informed and inquired applicant to submit the complete specification via examiner's fax number. As agreed upon then, the faxed specification was received by examiner on 9/8/05.